IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA
WRIT PETITION NO : 13689 of 1993

Between:
D.Subbaiah,s/o Chinnaiah, Age 25Tyrs.,
R/o Visappalem village, Narasaraopet Mandal,
Guntur District.
2. P.Sudhakar,S/o Suryanarayana Rao,
age 29Yrs., R/o Konetipuram village,
Bhattiprolu Mandal, Guntur District.
3. P.Balaganagadhara Tilak, s/o Tirumala Rao,
Age 29Yrs., R/o Ponnur village,
Ponnur Mandal, Guntur District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND
1. Government of A.P. rep. By its Chief Secretary,
General Administration Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad.

2. Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission,rep.
By its Chairman, Hyderabad.
3. The District collector, Guntur.
4. The District Development Officer, Zilla Praja Parishad,
Guntur, Guntur District.
5. The Union of India rep.by its Cabinet Secretary,
New Delhi.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to implement forthwith the A.P. Public Service Commission selection list regarding Group-IV C in so far as services of May,1989, the petitioners are

concerned based on their selection in accordance with the notification No.1 as published on 2.01.1989 and selections made in accordance with the same notification by the A.P. Public Service Commission y appointing the petitioners to the category of posts-Group-IV-C in Andhra Pradesh Government, after declaring that the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal and the Administrative Tribunal Act,1985 as unconstitutional and ultravires and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.DASARADHA RAMI REDDY (not

Present.

Counsel for the Respondent :

The Court Made the Following :

ORDER:

There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 17.06.2004. Consequently the matter has been posted today under the caption "for dismissal". Today when the matter is called neither the petitioner nor his counsel is present and there is no representation on his behalf. Hence, the Writ petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

24-06-2004
klp

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//True copy//

SECTION OFFICER.

To
1. The Chief Secretary to Govt.,
   General Administration Department,
   Secretariat, Hyderabadd
2. The Chairman, APPSC, Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Guntur.
4. The District Development Officer, Zilla Parsihad, Guntur.
5. The Cabinet Secretary, Union of India, New Delhi.

6. Two C.Ds.